

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/671,295	WOLFGANG ET AL.
	Examiner Srirama Channavajjala	Art Unit 2166

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Srirama Channavajjala. (3) _____

(2) Janaki K. Davda, Reg.No. 40,684. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 August 2006

Time: 2 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

outstanding office action

Claims discussed:

1-39

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent No. 6493727; US Patent No. 5970502

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

A telephone was made to applicant's representative Attorney,Janaki K. Davda, Reg.No. 40,684 about the potential amendment to the claims, specification page 13, paragraph 38, in order to allow the case. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has given for an Examiner's Amendment. .